(U) RISK OVERVIEW

(U) With the expanded use of Huawei Technologies Inc. equipment and services in US telecommunications service provider networks, the Chinese Government’s potential access to US business communications is dramatically increasing. China’s intelligence services and Chinese cyber actors could exploit Chinese Government-supported telecommunication equipment on US networks operating as an advanced persistent threat. China makes no secret that its cyber warfare strategy is predicated on controlling global communications network infrastructure.

(U) Since Huawei’s inception in 1987, the company continues to receive open support from senior Chinese Communist Party officials and People’s Liberation Army (PLA) Commanders. Bolstered by Chinese Government subsidy and direct financing, Huawei is able to offer unsuspecting US businesses low-cost offers in exchange for access to US networks.

(U) The purpose of this SPIN is to provide summaries of recent US investigative findings, private industry reporting, and news articles on Huawei Technology Inc.

(U) INVESTIGATIVE REPORT ON THE US NATIONAL SECURITY ISSUES POSED BY CHINESE TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY HUAWEI

(U) Huawei and another China-based entity, ZTE, were both previously highlighted as concerns in a 2012 House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence (HPSCI) report. The report noted, “the protection of intellectual property and compliance with United States export control laws are a core concern for U.S. interests. The ability of a company to comply with these laws provide a useful test of that company’s ability to follow international codes of business conduct and remain free of undue state influence.”

(U) UPDATES SINCE HPSCI

(U//FOUO) FBI Director Wray’s Senate testimony at the Senate Intelligence Committee in February 2018 demonstrates that the US government remains concerned about the security risks posed by Chinese telecommunications companies.

(U) “We're deeply concerned about the risks of allowing any company or entity that is beholden to foreign governments that don't share our values to gain positions of power inside our telecommunications networks. That provides the capacity to exert pressure or control over our telecommunications infrastructure. It provides the capacity to maliciously modify or steal information. And it provides the capacity to conduct undetected espionage.” —FBI Director Wray, 13 February 2018
In January 2018, daft bill HR4747, also known as the “Defending US Government Communications Act,” was introduced in the US House of Representatives. The bill cites reporting indicating that PRC companies Huawei and ZTE are “subject to state influence.” It would prevent the use or procurement of any telecommunications equipment or services from Huawei or ZTE as a “substantial or essential component of any system, or as critical technology as part of any system” as related to USG contracts.

The draft Senate version of the bill, S.2391, would prohibit USG agencies from purchasing and leasing hardware and services made by ZTE, Huawei and any affiliates and subsidiaries.

PRC LAWS APPLICABLE TO CHINESE COMPANIES IN THE US

- **(U) Cybersecurity Law of 2017** requires telecommunication network operators and ISPs to store data within China and allows Chinese authorities to conduct spot-checks on company network operations.
- **(U) PRC National Security Law of 2015** calls for citizens and organizations to provide information or other assistance for Chinese national security works.
- **(U) 2015 Chinese Counterterrorism Law** requires that internet service providers (ISPs) provide technical means of support in terrorism and other national security cases.
- **(U) 1996 Chinese Archive Law** requires all Chinese state organizations, armed forces, political parties, social organizations, state-owned enterprises, establishments, and citizens to regularly transfer information to the Chinese government in China for record keeping and archiving. Subsidiary companies of Chinese companies are included.

> “Citizens of the People’s Republic of China, all state organs and armed forces, each political parties and mass organization, enterprises, public institutions and other social organizations, all have the responsibility and obligation to preserve national security.”

-- PRC State Security Law

BACKDOORS AND UNDISCLOSED FEATURES

Modern telecommunications are a complex ecosystem with multiple interoperable parts fulfilling different rules but all cooperating through shared standards. Any one of these parts could be a potential asset to a hostile foreign power. These inherent vulnerabilities are potentially in any equipment servicing and maintenance contracts. Additionally, manufactures regularly update most complex telecom products so even if there are no deliberate vulnerabilities now, some future update could install them. While some risks can be mitigated, it is highly unlikely that even careful inspections could identify all vulnerabilities.

> “The task of finding and eliminating every significant vulnerability from a complex product is monumental. If we also consider flaws intentionally inserted by a determined and clever insider, the task becomes virtually impossible” -- 2012 HPSCI report

DISRUPTION AND DATA EXFILTRATION

Huawei and ZTE provide finished equipment, components, and services to network routers. Routers are used to connect users between networks, and network customers typically subscribe to an Internet service provider (ISP) to transport data between networks. If the routers were disabled, it could severely disrupt the internet, as traffic would no longer be routed between networks, except where carriers had their own private peering arrangements. Additionally, routers are comprised of integrated circuits and may include backdoors or other vulnerabilities that would allow for remote, unauthorized, and undetected
access by the manufacturer or a malicious actor. These vulnerabilities could potentially allow for exfiltration of data to Chinese-based servers.

(U) 5G TECHNOLOGIES

(U//FOUO) Huawei could insert backdoors into current, 4G LTE, critical telecommunications infrastructure equipment it provides such as routers, switches, and phones. This could give Beijing potential access to national communications. If Huawei partners with telecommunications carriers to develop the next generation wireless network, 5G, then the backdoors could end up on far more telecommunications equipment to include cell phone towers.

(U) HUAWEI THEFT OF US PROPRIETARY INFORMATION

(U) T-Mobile created a phone-testing robot called Tappy that in a matter of days could test daily phone use and everyday functionality, compared to the weeks this testing used to take. As a handset supplier to T-Mobile in 2012, Huawei was granted access to the testing lab and the Tappy Robot after signing a clean room letter and non-disclosure agreements that prohibited photography, copying of source code, or any other theft of the technology.

(U) Despite T-Mobile taking significant steps to protect its robot intellectual property, in May 2013 Huawei employees took photographs and stole T-Mobile’s trade secrets including the robot finger. In 2017, T-Mobile won its civil lawsuit against Huawei, who was found to have misappropriated trade secrets belonging to T-Mobile, who was awarded $4.8 million by a jury. This shows the lengths that Huawei went to steal T-Mobile’s proprietary information and how it treats its business partners, despite having signed legal agreements.

(U) OUTLOOK AND IMPLICATIONS:

(U) US assets are largely in the hands of the private sector, not the government. If your company or agency has information or assets that could help our adversaries advance their interests, then your company or agency is almost certainly being targeted. You must identify and protect your priority information and assets, and you should engage with your local FBI office to exchange intelligence and report suspicious activity. Prevention of harm is essential. Once a foreign country has acquired U.S. information or assets, the damage cannot be undone by punishing those who were responsible.

*See Appendix 2 for key industries for potential PRC targeting*
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APPENDIX 1

(U) BUSINESS CONSIDERATIONS

(U//FOUO) Mergers/Acquisitions: Has your company been approached for a merger or acquisition opportunity by a foreign competitor?
Joint Projects/Collaborations: Which specific programs/offices within your company have liaison relationships/joint efforts with domestic and foreign entities? Who initiated the partnership effort? What processes do you use with regard to your due diligence when vetting potential foreign partners?

Foreign Government Activities: What is the nature of foreign government involvement in your industry overseas?

Major Foreign Competitors: Who are your major foreign competitors, particularly those with whom you share some cooperative endeavors? Are you aware of any of your main US/domestic competitors working or negotiating with foreign competitors?

Circumventing/Manipulating US Laws and Regulations: When you deny access to your facility or classified, trade secret, and proprietary information, have you experienced attempts to circumvent? Have foreign businesses or groups attempted to circumvent US law, regulation, or company security systems?

Visitors or Others Collecting Information: What are other ways you receive unsolicited requests for information? Are there certain members of visiting delegations who tend to ask most of the questions when you are hosting groups to your facility? Are questions submitted in advance or asked randomly?

Targeted Technology/Proprietary Information: What technologies do you want to protect from your competitors? Do you believe you are adequately protecting them? What information or technology (including expertise in manufacturing, production, or operations) are foreign competitors lacking that keeps them from being competitive?

Criminal and Suspicious Activities: What are the various ways you may have experienced loss, theft, or targeting of your trade secrets, proprietary, and critical or emerging technologies, and by whom?

Targeting Trends: Has your company observed any trends in the way a domestic or foreign competitor is targeting your proprietary or trade secret information?

Research and Development Losses: Which countries are your most valuable customers? How do they support your research and development efforts? What is the estimated value of losses of your trade secret or proprietary information?

Collaboration Among Foreign Partners: How is your company connected to international partners (e.g., through supply chains, joint R&D, acquisition processes, distribution, etc.)? How do you determine your supply chain is sound and you are getting the quality products you purchased/requested? Have international partners sought any quality assurance testing on your products, either before or after a sale?

Financial Matters: Identify any suspicious financial activities of your business partners, distributors, suppliers, and employees that may aid business competitors or foreign organizations.

APPENDIX 2

Key Technologies Identified in “Made in China 2025” Roadmap in China’s 13th Five Year Plan

1. New Generation Information Technology
2. High-Grade Computer Numerical Control Machine (CNC) Tools and Robotics
3. Aerospace Equipment
4. Marine Engineering Equipment and High Technology Ships
5. Advanced Rail Transportation Equipment
7. Electric Power Equipment
8. Agricultural Equipment
9. New Materials
10. Biomedicine and High-Performance Medical Instruments