REFERENCE AID



(U//FOUO) Malicious Terrorism Hoaxes Likely to Endure, Strain State and Local First Responder Resources

15 August 2016





Northern California Regional Intelligence Center





Northern Virginia Regional Intelligence Center



New Jersey Regional Operations Intelligence Center

Office of Intelligence and Analysis IA-0189-16

⁽U) Warning: This document is UNCLASSIFIED/IFOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY (U/IFOUO). It contains information that may be exempt from public release under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). It is to be controlled, stored, handled, transmitted, distributed, and disposed of in accordance with DHS policy relating to FOUO information and is not to be released to the public, the media, or other personnel who do not have a valid need to know without prior approval of an authorized DHS official. State and local homeland security officials may share this document with authorized critical infrastructure and key resource personnel and private sector security officials without further approval from DHS.

⁽U) This product contains US person information that has been deemed necessary for the intended recipient to understand, assess, or act on the information provided. All US person information has been minimized. Should you require the minimized US person information, please contact the I&A Production Branch at IA.PM@hq.dhs.sgov, IA.PM@dhs.sgov, or IA.PM@dhs.sgov.



REFERENCE AID

15 August 2016

(U//FOUO) Malicious Terrorism Hoaxes Likely to Endure, Strain State and Local First Responder Resources

(U//FOUO) Prepared by the Office of Intelligence and Analysis (I&A), Delaware Information and Analysis Center (DIAC), Northern California Regional Intelligence Center (NCRIC), Northern Virginia Regional Intelligence Center (NVRIC), and New Jersey Regional Operations Intelligence Center (NJ-ROIC). Coordinated with FBI and NCTC.

(U) Scope

(U//FOUO) This Reference Aid is intended to provide information on malicious terrorism hoaxes that will continue to challenge first responder resources throughout the Homeland and territories. This Reference Aid is provided by I&A, DIAC, NCRIC, NVRIC, and NJ-ROIC to support their respective activities, to provide situational awareness, and to assist federal, state, local, tribal, and territorial government counterterrorism and law enforcement officials and first responders with recognizing the indicators and implications of malicious terrorism hoaxes. The use of hoax calls may also be used as a technique to lure authorities to a particular location for the purpose of conducting a potential attack, but is not discussed in this article, as luring is viewed as its own distinct tactic.

(U) Overview

(U//FOUO) Based on our analysis of open sources and DHS-supported fusion center reporting, we assess malicious terrorism hoaxes such as bogus e-mails and phoned-in threats, including swatting and automated robocalls, will continue to challenge first responder resources throughout the country and territories, as they create disturbances and send false alarms. According to numerous police departments, these hoaxes—claiming active shooter, fire, and bomb threats—divert valuable resources or close down locations; most are motivated by a desire to cause disruption or annoyance rather than physical harm, and do not represent an actual terrorist threat. We judge hoax threats will continue to strain state and local government first responder resources over the next few years based on the number of threats made in the United States over the past two years.

(U//FOUO) Swatting uses the 911 system to report false incidents at a location (e.g., fires, shootings) and results in the dispatching of emergency services. Swatting poses a potential significant threat to public safety by diverting first responder resources needed to handle real emergencies occurring during the incident response time. Although most swatting incidents are criminal in nature, we assess the diversion of resources by a foreign terrorist organization (FTO) during a real attack would amplify the effectiveness of their attack against a different location. These calls could also result in accidental deaths by inadvertently placing unsuspecting victims targeted by the call in a confrontational situation with law enforcement tactical response.

- » (U) In July 2016, a New York man was sentenced to two years in prison for swatting public officials. In March 2013, he used the same tactic and disrupted the University of Arizona, claiming there was an active shooter with explosives on campus, according to reliable media reports and a Department of Justice press release.^{1,2}
- » (U) In November 2014, law enforcement responded to a hoax 911 call in Elizabeth, New Jersey. The caller pledged allegiance to the self-proclaimed Islamic State of Iraq and the Levant (ISIL), alleging he had bombs, an AK-47, and had taken hostages at a local hotel, according to a media report. There is no indication this incident was actually associated with ISIL.³

» (U//FOUO) In April 2014, a single swatting incident response on Long Island cost nearly \$100,000 to deploy 60 officers from numerous departments, crisis negotiators, and a SWAT team, according to local officials cited in press and DHS-supported fusion center reporting.^{4,5}

(U//FOUO) Robocalls use a computer-generated phone call made directly to a target or a group of targets rather than via the 911 system, often using a voice anonymizer to deliver a recorded bomb threat via telephone. Robocalls and e-mailed bomb threats are usually nonviolent hoaxes intended to force an evacuation of the threatened location, typically a school or a courthouse.⁶

- » (U) In May 2016, anonymous phone threats disrupted the school day in 21 states, with some states reporting multiple schools had evacuated or initiated lockdowns in response to threats warning of an explosive device on school grounds. Schools across the United Kingdom received similar threats, disrupting final exams, according to media reports.
- » (U//FOUO) From January through February 2016, a similar wave of robocalls impacted numerous states and territories across the United Sates, according to information from several DHS-supported fusion centers as well as open source reporting.^{9–13} These threats involved prerecorded or robotic-style bomb threats targeting schools, courthouses, police stations, fire stations, and small businesses, many resulting in evacuations, closures, and responses from explosive ordinance disposal units.
- » (U) In December 2015, a malicious terrorism hoax e-mailed to the Los Angeles Unified School District claimed every school in the system would be targeted by 32 terrorist operatives armed with various weapons, including nerve gas, according to press reporting.¹⁴ Similar threats were sent to other school districts in California, Florida, New Jersey, New York City, Texas, and Washington, DC.¹⁵

(U) Potential Indicators

(U) Malicious terrorism hoax indicators can include, but are not limited to:

- » (U//FOUO) Specifying the exact timing and target of an attack (since providing that information would jeopardize the success of an event);
- » (U//FOUO) Containing complex scenarios involving chemical weapons or other advanced capabilities, sometimes citing geographically dispersed targets; and
- » (U//FOUO) Involving teams of 10 or more operatives.

(U) Swatting indicators can include, but are not limited to:

- » (U//FOUO) Using a voice-over-Internet protocol (VoIP) system to report an incident, often falsifying the address on the operator's screen;
- » (U//FOUO) Receiving limited information from a caller about the incident and disconnecting once additional questions are asked by the operator; and
- » (U//FOUO) Reporting of an emergency (e.g., building fire, shooting, gas leak) that requires a multi-agency response.

(U) Robocall indicators can include, but are not limited to:

- » (U//FOUO) Recording that is monotone or robotic, and background inconsistent with emergency or threat;
- » (U//FOUO) Refusing to answer follow-up questions when prompted; and
- » (U//FOUO) Reporting a bombing requiring an evacuation.

(U) Source Summary Statement

(U//FOUO) This Reference Aid is drawn from fusion center data and open sources. I&A has **medium confidence** in our assessment that hoax threats will continue to strain state and local government first responder resources over the next few years. Our assessment is based on open sources, including press reporting, where information is credible but may contain biases or intentional inaccuracies. When possible, open source information has been corroborated through other law enforcement and government sources. I&A has **high confidence** in the information obtained from the fusion centers, which is based on state and local law enforcement information. Additional media or law enforcement reporting indicating that terrorists are using hoax threats to target first responders or discussing it on social media would strengthen our assessment.

(U) Report Suspicious Activity

(U) To report suspicious activity, law enforcement, Fire-EMS, private security personnel, and emergency managers should follow established protocols; all other personnel should call 911 or contact local law enforcement. Suspicious activity reports (SARs) will be forwarded to the appropriate fusion center and FBI Joint Terrorism Task Force for further action. For more information on the Nationwide SAR Initiative, visit http://nsi.ncirc.gov/resources.aspx.

(U) Tracked by: HSEC-8.3, HSEC-8-5, HSEC-8.8

¹ (U); The Washington Post; "New York Man Sentenced for Serial 911 SWAT calls to celebrities' homes, ID theft"; 11 JUL 2016; https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/public-safety/new-york-man-sentenced-for-serial-911-swat-calls-to-celebrities-homes-id-theft/2016/07/11/24b60d16-478c-11e6-acbc-4d4870a079da story.html?wpisrc=nl daily202&wpmm=1; accessed on 12 JUL 2016.

² (U); United States Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia; Press release; "New York Man Sentenced To 24 Months in Prison For Internet Offenses, Including 'Doxing,' 'Swatting,' Making a False Bomb Threat, and Cyber-Stalking'; 11 JUL 2016; https://www.justice.gov/usao-dc/pr/new-york-man-sentenced-24-months-prison-internet-offenses-including-doxing-swatting; accessed on 12 JUL 2016.

³ (U); NBC New York; "I-Team Exclusive: 'Swatting' 911 Recordings Reveal Voices Behind Twisted Pranks'; 05 FEB 2015; http://www.nbcnewyork.com/news/local/SWATing-911-Recording-Call-Prank-I-Team-Investigation-Police-Scramble-Arrest-290838711.html; accessed on 23 JUL 2016.

⁴ (U//FOUO); New Jersey Regional Operations Intelligence Center; Intelligence Bulletin ROIC201504-01974T; 14 APR 2015; DOI APR 2014 – APR 2015; (LES); "Increased Concern for Swatting Trends in New Jersey"; Extracted information is U//FOUO; Overall classification is U//LES.

⁵ (U); CBS News; "FBI joins New York 'Swatting' prank investigation"; 24 APR 2014; http://www.cbsnews.com/news/fbi-joins-new-york-swatting-prank-investigation/; accessed on 12 JUL 2016.

^{6 (}U); The Australian; "Thousands evacuated as bomb scares empty Sydney schools"; 02 FEB 2016; http://www.theaustralian.com.au/in-depth/terror/thousands-evacuated-as-bomb-scares-empty-sydney-schools/news-story/10742d8576904b24566b37043a64b568; accessed on 06 APR 2016.

^{7 (}U); NBC News; "Threatening Robo-Calls Evacuate Thousands of Students in U.S., U.K. Schools"; 23 MAY 2016; http://www.nbcnews.com/news/us-news/threatening-robo-calls-evacuate-thousands-students-u-s-u-k-n578971; accessed on 12 JUL 2016.

^{8 (}U); BBC News; "GCSE exams disrupted by bomb hoaxes across South East"; 23 MAY 2016; http://www.bbc.com/news/uk-england-36364458; accessed on 12 JUL 2016.

⁹ (U); Reuters; "Bomb and shooting threats target dozens of schools across U.S."; 19 JAN 2016; http://www.reuters.com/article/us-new-jersey-threat-idUSKCN0UX21C; accessed on 12 JUL 2016.

⁽U); Boston Herald; "Officials investigating bomb threats at multiple schools"; 15 JAN 2016; http://www.bostonherald.com/news/local_coverage/herald_bulldog/2016/01/officials_investigating_bomb_threats_at_multiple_schools; accessed on 06 APR 2016.

⁽U); NBC News; "Schools Round Northeast Receive Threats of Violence"; 19 JAN 2016; http://www.nbcnewyork.com/news/national-international/School-Bomb-Threats-Violence-Northeast-Delaware-New-Jersey-Massachusetts-365775361.html; accessed on 12 JUL 2016.

¹² (U); Delaware Information and Analysis Center; Intelligence Officer, DHS; e-mail; 07 JUL 2015; DOI JAN 2016 – JUL 2016; (U); RE: RCR on robo-calls and swatting; Extracted information is U//FOUO; Overall classification is U//FOUO.

^{13 (}U); Northern Virginia Regional Intelligence Center; Intelligence Officer, FCFRD; e-mail; 07 JUL 2015; DOI JAN 2016 – JUL 2016; (U) RE: RCR on robo-calls and swatting; Extracted information is U//FOUO; Overall classification is U//FOUO.

¹⁴ (U); The Los Angeles Times; "L.A. schools to reopen Wednesday; threat against schools was 'not credible,' officials say"; 15 DEC 2015; http://www.latimes.com/local/lanow/la-me-ln-all-lausd-schools-closed-threat-20151215-story.html; accessed on 30 MAY 2016.

¹⁵ (U); Reuters; "Schools around U.S. receive hoax threats; two arrested in Indiana"; 17 DEC 2015; http://www.reuters.com/article/us-indiana-threat-idUSKBN0U01QJ20151218; accessed on 27 JUL 2016.